## Quasi one-dimensional light beam generated by a graded-index microsphere: errata

## Soon-Cheol Kong,<sup>1</sup>\* Allen Taflove,<sup>1</sup> and Vadim Backman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA \* sch@northwestern.edu

**Abstract:** We correct a typo found in [Opt. Express **17**, 3722-3731 (2009)]. The overall approach and results stay unchanged.

©2010 Optical Society of America

**OCIS codes:** (210.0210) Optical data storage; (230.3990) Micro-optical devices; (290.1350) Backscattering.

## **References and links**

 S.-C. Kong, A. Taflove, and V. Backman, "Quasi one-dimensional light beam generated by a graded-index microsphere," Opt. Express 17(5), 3722–3731 (2009).

In the article [1], a profile of the refractive-index contrast (relative to the background medium) for the graded-index microsphere was used for the numerical simulations. However, a typo appeared associated with the material parameters of the graded-index microsphere. This is corrected below.

Specifically, Eq. (1) provides the refractive-index contrast profile n(r) for the graded-index microsphere. This equation should read:

$$n(r) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{r,\max} - \frac{(\varepsilon_{r,\max} - 1)}{a}r}$$
(1)

where *a* is the radius of the microsphere, *r* is the radial distance from the microsphere's center, and  $\varepsilon_{r,max}$  is the maximum value of the dielectric permittivity contrast relative to the infinite background medium surrounding the microsphere.

 $\varepsilon_{r,max}$  is set to 2 for all of the numerical simulations in this paper. Thus, the refractiveindex contrast n(r) properly has a maximum value of  $\sqrt{2}$  at the center of the microsphere, and decreases in the radial direction to a minimum value of 1 at the microsphere surface. Before this correction, the maximum n(r) at the microsphere center in Ref [1]. was improperly given as 2.

The authors regret the typo. Please note, however, that it does not affect any of the numerical results presented in [1]

#124079 - \$15.00 USD (C) 2010 OSA