

EECS 395

Programming Languages

Winter 2010

Instructor: **Robby Findler**

Course Details

[http://www.eecs.northwestern.edu/~robby/
courses/395-2010-winter/](http://www.eecs.northwestern.edu/~robby/courses/395-2010-winter/)

(or google “findler” and follow the links)

Programming Language Concepts

This course teaches concepts in two ways:

By implementing **interpreters**

- new concept ⇒ new interpreter

By using **Scheme** and variants

- we don't assume that you already know Scheme

Interpreters vs Compilers

An **interpreter** takes a program and produces a result

- DrScheme
- x86 processor
- desktop calculator
- bash
- Algebra student

Interpreters vs Compilers

An **interpreter** takes a program and produces a result

- DrScheme
- x86 processor
- desktop calculator
- bash
- Algebra student

A **compiler** takes a program and produces a program

- DrScheme
- x86 processor
- gcc
- javac

Interpreters vs Compilers

An **interpreter** takes a program and produces a result

- DrScheme
- x86 processor
- desktop calculator
- **bash**
- Algebra student

Good for understanding
program behavior, easy
to implement

A **compiler** takes a program and produces a program

- DrScheme
- x86 processor
- **gcc**
- **javac**

Good for speed, more
complex (come back
next quarter)

Interpreters vs Compilers

An **interpreter** takes a program and produces a result

- DrScheme
- x86 processor
- desktop calculator
- **bash**
- Algebra student

Good for understanding
program behavior, easy
to implement

A **compiler** takes a program and produces a program

- DrScheme
- x86 processor
- **gcc**
- **javac**

Good for speed, more
complex (come back
next quarter)

So, what's a **program**?

A Grammar for Algebra Programs

A grammar of Algebra in **BNF** (Backus-Naur Form):

```
<prog>    ::=   <defn> * <expr>
<defn>    ::=   <id> ( <id> ) = <expr>
<expr>    ::=   ( <expr> + <expr> )
              | ( <expr> - <expr> )
              | <id> ( <expr> )
              | <id>
              | <num>
<id>       ::=   a variable name: f, x, y, z, ...
<num>      ::=   a number: 1, 42, 17, ...
```

A Grammar for Algebra Programs

A grammar of Algebra in **BNF** (Backus-Naur Form):

```
<prog>    ::=   <defn> * <expr>
<defn>    ::=   <id> ( <id> ) = <expr>
<expr>    ::=   ( <expr> + <expr> )
              | ( <expr> - <expr> )
              | <id> ( <expr> )
              | <id>
              | <num>
<id>       ::=   a variable name: f, x, y, z, ...
<num>      ::=   a number: 1, 42, 17, ...
```

Each **meta-variable**, such as $\langle \text{prog} \rangle$, defines a set

Using a BNF Grammar

$\langle \text{id} \rangle ::= \text{ a variable name: } \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \dots$

$\langle \text{num} \rangle ::= \text{ a number: } \mathbf{l}, 42, 17, \dots$

The set $\langle \text{id} \rangle$ is the set of all variable names

The set $\langle \text{num} \rangle$ is the set of all numbers

Using a BNF Grammar

$\langle \text{id} \rangle ::= \text{ a variable name: } \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \dots$

$\langle \text{num} \rangle ::= \text{ a number: } \mathbf{l}, 42, 17, \dots$

The set $\langle \text{id} \rangle$ is the set of all variable names

The set $\langle \text{num} \rangle$ is the set of all numbers

To make an example member of $\langle \text{num} \rangle$, simply pick an element from the set

Using a BNF Grammar

$\langle \text{id} \rangle ::= \text{ a variable name: } \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \dots$

$\langle \text{num} \rangle ::= \text{ a number: } \mathbf{l}, 42, 17, \dots$

The set $\langle \text{id} \rangle$ is the set of all variable names

The set $\langle \text{num} \rangle$ is the set of all numbers

To make an example member of $\langle \text{num} \rangle$, simply pick an element from the set

$2 \in \langle \text{num} \rangle$

$298 \in \langle \text{num} \rangle$

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

The set $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$ is defined in terms of other sets

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable
- combine the examples with literal text

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )
          | <id>
          | <num>
```



To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable
- combine the examples with literal text

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )
          | <id>
          | <num>
```



To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable

$7 \in \langle \text{num} \rangle$

- combine the examples with literal text

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )
          | <id>
          | <num>
```



To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable

$7 \in \langle \text{num} \rangle$

- combine the examples with literal text

$7 \in \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )           ←
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable
- combine the examples with literal text

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )           ←
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable

$f \in \langle \text{id} \rangle$

- combine the examples with literal text

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )           ←
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable

$f \in \langle \text{id} \rangle$ $7 \in \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

- combine the examples with literal text

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )           ←
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable

$f \in \langle \text{id} \rangle$ $7 \in \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

- combine the examples with literal text

$f(7) \in \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )           ←
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable

$$\mathbf{f} \in \langle \text{id} \rangle \qquad \qquad \mathbf{f}(7) \in \langle \text{expr} \rangle$$

- combine the examples with literal text

Using a BNF Grammar

```
<expr> ::= ( <expr> + <expr> )
          | ( <expr> - <expr> )
          | <id> ( <expr> )           ←
          | <id>
          | <num>
```

To make an example $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$:

- choose one case in the grammar
- pick an example for each meta-variable

$\mathbf{f} \in \langle \text{id} \rangle$ $\mathbf{f}(7) \in \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

- combine the examples with literal text

$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{f}(7)) \in \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

Using a BNF Grammar

$\langle \text{prog} \rangle ::= \langle \text{defn} \rangle^* \langle \text{expr} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{defn} \rangle ::= \langle \text{id} \rangle (\langle \text{id} \rangle) = \langle \text{expr} \rangle$

$\mathbf{f(x)} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}) \in \langle \text{defn} \rangle$

Using a BNF Grammar

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \text{prog} \rangle &::= \langle \text{defn} \rangle^* \langle \text{expr} \rangle \\ \langle \text{defn} \rangle &::= \langle \text{id} \rangle (\langle \text{id} \rangle) = \langle \text{expr} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{f(x)} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}) \in \langle \text{defn} \rangle$$

To make a $\langle \text{prog} \rangle$ pick some number of $\langle \text{defn} \rangle$'s

$$(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \in \langle \text{prog} \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f(x)} &= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}) \\ \mathbf{g(y)} &= \mathbf{f((y - 2))} \in \langle \text{prog} \rangle \\ \mathbf{g(7)}\end{aligned}$$

Programming Language

A ***programming language*** is defined by

- a grammar for programs
- rules for evaluating any program to produce a result

Programming Language

A **programming language** is defined by

- a grammar for programs
- rules for evaluating any program to produce a result

For example, Algebra evaluation is defined in terms of evaluation steps:

$$(2 + (7 - 4)) \rightarrow (2 + 3) \rightarrow 5$$

Programming Language

A **programming language** is defined by

- a grammar for programs
- rules for evaluating any program to produce a result

For example, Algebra evaluation is defined in terms of evaluation steps:

$$f(x) = (x + 1)$$

$$f(10) \rightarrow (10 + 1) \rightarrow 11$$

Evaluation

- Evaluation → is defined by a set of pattern-matching rules:

$$(2 + (7 - 4)) \rightarrow (2 + 3)$$

due to the pattern rule

$$\dots (7 - 4) \dots \rightarrow \dots 3 \dots$$

Evaluation

- Evaluation \rightarrow is defined by a set of pattern-matching rules:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l})$$

$$\mathbf{f}(10) \quad \rightarrow \quad (10 + \mathbf{l})$$

due to the pattern rule

$$\dots \langle \text{id} \rangle \ | (\langle \text{id} \rangle \ \mathbf{2}) = \langle \text{expr} \rangle \ | \dots$$

$$\dots \langle \text{id} \rangle \ | (\langle \text{expr} \rangle \ \mathbf{2}) \dots \quad \rightarrow \quad \dots \langle \text{expr} \rangle \ \mathbf{3} \dots$$

where $\langle \text{expr} \rangle \ \mathbf{3}$ is $\langle \text{expr} \rangle \ |$ with $\langle \text{id} \rangle \ \mathbf{2}$ replaced by
 $\langle \text{expr} \rangle \ \mathbf{2}$

Rules for Evaluation

- **Rule I - one pattern**

... $\langle id \rangle \ | (\langle id \rangle \ 2) = \langle expr \rangle \ | ...$

... $\langle id \rangle \ | (\langle expr \rangle \ 2) ... \rightarrow ... \langle expr \rangle \ 3 ...$

where $\langle expr \rangle \ 3$ is $\langle expr \rangle \ |$ with $\langle id \rangle \ 2$ replaced by
 $\langle expr \rangle \ 2$

Rules for Evaluation

- **Rule 1 - one pattern**

... $\langle id \rangle \ | (\langle id \rangle \ 2) = \langle expr \rangle \ | ...$

... $\langle id \rangle \ | (\langle expr \rangle \ 2) ... \rightarrow ... \langle expr \rangle \ 3 ...$

where $\langle expr \rangle \ 3$ is $\langle expr \rangle \ |$ with $\langle id \rangle \ 2$ replaced by
 $\langle expr \rangle \ 2$

- **Rules 2 - ∞ special cases**

... $(0 + 0) ... \rightarrow ... 0 ...$

... $(1 + 0) ... \rightarrow ... 1 ...$

... $(2 + 0) ... \rightarrow ... 2 ...$

etc.

... $(0 - 0) ... \rightarrow ... 0 ...$

... $(1 - 0) ... \rightarrow ... 1 ...$

... $(2 - 0) ... \rightarrow ... 2 ...$

etc.

Rules for Evaluation

- **Rule 1 - one pattern**

... $\langle id \rangle \ | (\langle id \rangle \ 2) = \langle expr \rangle \ | ...$

... $\langle id \rangle \ | (\langle expr \rangle \ 2) ... \rightarrow ... \langle expr \rangle \ 3 ...$

where $\langle expr \rangle \ 3$ is $\langle expr \rangle \ |$ with $\langle id \rangle \ 2$ replaced by
 $\langle expr \rangle \ 2$

- **Rules 2 - ∞ special cases**

... $(0 + 0) ... \rightarrow ... 0 ...$

... $(1 + 0) ... \rightarrow ... 1 ...$

... $(2 + 0) ... \rightarrow ... 2 ...$

etc.

... $(0 - 0) ... \rightarrow ... 0 ...$

... $(1 - 0) ... \rightarrow ... 1 ...$

... $(2 - 0) ... \rightarrow ... 2 ...$

etc.

When the interpreter is a program instead of an Algebra student,
the rules look a little different

HW I

On the course web page:

Write an interpreter for a small language of string manipulations

Assignment is due **Friday**

Your code may be featured in class on Monday